

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,402		09/11/2003	Jong Woog Lee	7950.010.00-US	3759	
30827	7590	08/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ONG & ALDRIDG	LEUNG, I	LEUNG, PHILIP H		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/659,402	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Philip H. Leung	⁻ 3742	

	Philip H. Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	• • • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	· -	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>34-41,43-45 and 50-57</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-33,42 and 46-49</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-27</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Philip H Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742	8 <u>-2006.</u>

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 28 which changes "one tray assembly being configured to at least receive, eject and/or keep an item from slanting" to "one tray assembly being configured to at least receive, eject and keep an item from slanting" changes the scope of the claimed structure and requires further consideration and search. Furthermore, although the newly added limitation "a movable tray supporter, fixed at a lower portion of the tray, for moving the tray" in claims 28 and 46 was in dependent claims 29 and 47, however, not all of the limitation in claims 29 or 47 were included, for example, "installed in the slit" of claim 29 were omitted, therefore, the proposed amended claims 28 and 46 form new combinations not previously presented as argued. Moreover, the non-elected claims 1-27 have not been cancelled